

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re PATENT APPLICATION of: Robert Grove, et al.

Application No.: 10/783,603

Group Art Unit: 3735

Filed: February 19, 2004

Examiner: David M. Shay

Title: EYE SAFE DERMATOLOGIC TREATMENT APPARATUS AND
METHOD

Rule 132 Declaration of Dr. Gary C. Bjorklund

Gary C. Bjorklund declares and states as follows:

1. I am the Consulting Director at the Stanford Photonics Research Center at Stanford University. I am a past president of the Optical Society of America, a past president of the IEEE Lasers and Electro-Optics Society, and I am a Fellow of the Optical Society of America, the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, and the American Physical Society. I have authored over 100 technical publications, and I am an inventor on over 30 patents. I have served on the National Research Council Committee on Optical Science and Engineering, and I currently serve as the Chair of the Optical Society of America Foundation. I received my undergraduate degree in Physics from M.I.T. in 1968, and I received my Ph.D. in Applied Physics from Stanford University in 1974. My resume is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
2. I have no financial interest in the outcome of this matter, nor do I have any prior relationship with SpectraGenics or any of the inventors of the above-named patent application. I am being compensated for my time at my normal consulting rate.
3. I have made an extensive review of Dr. Michael Slatkine's patent application no. WO 03-049633 (the "633"). At page 43, lines 13-18, the '633 states the equation for determining eye safety of a laser source, as follows:

"The AEL for visible and near infrared radiation exiting a diffusing unit for which protective eyeglasses are unnecessary based on an extended diffuser source is defined by ANSI Z 136.1, as $10 \cdot k_1 \cdot k_2 \cdot (t^{-1/3}) \text{ J/cm}^2/\text{sr}$, where t is in seconds and $k_1 = k_2 = 1$ for a wavelength of 400-700 nm, $k_1 = 1.25$ and $k_2 = 1$ at 750 nm, $k_1 = 1.6$ and $k_2 = 1$ at 810 nm, $k_1 = 3$ and $k_2 = 1$ at 940 nm and $k_1 = 5$ and $k_2 = 1$ at a wavelength of 1060 to 1400 nm. The safety limit set by ISO 15004: 1997 E for pulsed radiation is $14 \text{ J/cm}^2/\text{sr}$."

4. I have reviewed ANSI standard Z 136.1. I have also reviewed the standard for laser classifications contained in 21 CFR 1040.10, and I am familiar with its standard for determining whether a laser device is "eye safe" with respect to retinal damage. The '633 is incorrect is attributing the equation set forth in paragraph 4 to ANSI Z 136.1. Instead, this equation is found in 21 CFR 1040.10, which is used by the CDRH of the FDA to determine whether a laser device is Class I, or "eye safe". Despite the improper attribution of source in the '633, the foregoing equation is stated correctly, and hereinafter, when I use the term "eye safe" I will mean a device whose output satisfies the equation set forth in paragraph 4, above, since this is the standard used by Dr. Slatkine in the '633.

5. The '633 is replete with misunderstandings of optical physics and improperly described designs that are not only not eye safe, but in fact would mislead the reader into building a device which would be extremely dangerous to the eye while believing that it would be eye safe. For the reasons explained below, I have determined that the '633 does not teach even one instance of a device which is eye safe and effective for hair removal under the standard taught by the '633, although it does disclose designs which purport to be eye safe but are not. In fact, a laser which would only damage one eye without the diffuser of the '633 would damage both eyes with the '633's diffuser.

6. One fundamental error in the '633's teachings can be found at page 7, the last sentence of the first full paragraph, where it is stated that:

"As referred to herein, monochromatic light is defined as being divergent when its exit angle from the distal end of the monochromatic light source, or from the distal end of a diverging unit, when used, is greater than a half angle of 6 degrees, wherein a "half angle" is defined as the half angle measured on a plane perpendicular to the propagation axis of a collimated beam generated by the monochromatic light source. With such a divergent angle, protective eyeglasses having an optical density approximately of only 2 are required for the aesthetc [sic] laser types specified hereinafter, corresponding to a transmittance of 1%. When the divergent half angle is 20 degrees, protective eyeglasses with an optical density of 1 are required, corresponding to a transmittance of 10%. When the divergent half angle is 60 degrees, no protective eyeglasses are required." [Emphasis added.]

The underlined statement is simply wrong as a matter of optical physics. Lasers, whether laser diodes or other forms of lasers, emit spatially coherent light. Except for very low power lasers, which would not be effective to remove hair, it

is not possible to achieve eye safety merely by increasing the divergence of monochromatic, i.e., laser, light to 60 degrees. Instead, the light (1) must be made spatially incoherent, and (2) must have a sufficiently low fluence. In the context of eye safety, I use the term 'spatially incoherent' to mean that the lens of the eye cannot re-image the light source to a small enough spot on the retina that it will damage the eye. The basic concept is that, as long as the lens of the eye can re-image the light source on the retina as something approaching a point source, the risk of retinal damage exists and the device is not eye safe except at very low fluences.

7. This same fundamental misunderstanding occurs again in the description of Figure 14, found at page 38, where the '633 states:

"Figure 14 illustrates another preferred embodiment of the invention in which a diffusing unit is not used, but rather a diverging optical element is employed to produce an exit beam having radiance, or alternatively, energy density, depending on the wavelength, below a safe level."

This statement is, again, simply wrong as a matter of physics. Merely using a diverging element, such as a lens, does not render the light source spatially incoherent even if the divergent element results in a half angle of 60° , and does not make it eye safe. If one of ordinary skill in the art were to follow these teachings of the '633, they would believe that they had built an eye-safe device, but in fact that device would be extremely dangerous at fluences sufficient to remove hair. That this error is intentional, and not merely a typographical mistake, is illustrated in Figure 14A, where the divergent element 741 is a simple convex lens. The '633 then states:

"When divergent beam 742 has a cross sectional dimension at least equal to cross section 752, its radiance is less than an eye safe level."

Again, following this teaching of the '633 would result in a device which is dangerously unsafe to the retina of the eye at any of the fluences used by Dr. Slatkine in his examples that perform hair removal.

8. The device illustrated in Figure 14b of the '633 suffers from a similar misconception, and would not yield an eye safe device at any reasonable fluences. Figure 14b and the associated description, found at page 40, describes an array of reflective lenslets with convex reflectors. The lenslets 992 have a reflective coating 993 on their back side, so that they serve as a divergent reflector. The light reflected through the lenslets 992 strikes a plurality of convex reflectors 995. The rays then exit through transparent plate 994. The intent is to achieve "a safe radiance level" by producing a "divergent half angle of 60°

degrees." This, again, evidences a complete misunderstanding of what is required to achieve eye safety under the CFR standard shown in paragraph 4, above. The elements of Figure 14b merely provide divergence. There is no diffusive element at all, and therefore the spatial coherence of the laser source is not destroyed, and the resulting output will not be eye safe. In some respects, the lenslet array of 14b presents even greater risk of eye injury, because each of the lenslets essentially results in a point source that is unsafe, so that, instead of just one unsafe beam, the design of Figure 14b yields an array of unsafe beams. Thus, for example, for a lenslet array of 100 x 100 lenslets placed in front of a laser source of sufficient fluence, the result of Dr. Slatkine's design is 10,000 unsafe beams of light.

9. A related misconception about eye safety is found at page 33 of the '633, where the device of Figure 8b is described as

"diffuser 784 produces a small diffusing angle of T_2 ,
and refractive/reflective element 785 expands angle
 T_2 to achieve wide diffusing angle T ."

A diffuser with a small diffusing angle is essentially a poor diffuser, and so the teaching of '633 is that a poor diffuser, combined with a refractive/reflective element (i.e., a lens or a mirror) can yield an eye safe device. This is simply not true. The use of the diffuser with a small half angle makes the beam only slightly less coherent and the addition of a lens or mirror only spreads out the beam, it does not make it less coherent. The device of Figure 8b would produce multiple equivalent extended sources, each one of which could be imaged to a small spot on the retina, and would not be eye safe at fluences sufficient to perform a dermatological procedure such as removing hair.

10. The misconception that merely diverging light is the same as diffusing it is repeated at page 49, second paragraph, which states:

"As can be seen from the above description, a
diffusing/diverging unit of the present invention, which
is mounted to the exit aperture of a conventional laser
unit, induces the exit beam to be divergent/and or
scattered at a wide angle. As a result the exit beam is
not injurious to the eyes and skin of observers, as well
as to objects located in the vicinity of the target."

11. The last paragraph on page 40, which summarizes the teachings of the '633 regarding its eye safe designs, states:

In summation, the present invention incorporates four groups of units which cause a monochromatic light to diverge at a sufficiently wide angle so that the radiance of an exit beam is eye safe:

- 1) A diverging unit provided with a single diverging optical element;
- 2) A multi-component diverging unit provided with reflective and refractive optical elements, and without any diffusers;
- 3) A diffusing unit provided with a single thin diffusively transmitting element; and
- 4) A multi-component diffusing unit, whereby a wide divergent, diffusing angle is achieved by using a high thermally resistant refractive/reflective optical component, as well as at least one thermally resistant low angle diffuser.

In fact, contrary to the statements made in the '633, there is no disclosure in the '633 that teaches how to make an eye safe device using any of these units, if the fluences are more than a small fraction of those needed to be efficacious for hair removal. Types 1, 2 and 4 are inherently unsafe for the reasons show above. Further, and as discussed in greater detail below, there is no disclosure in the '633 of a single diffusively transmitting element, or type 3, which yields an eye safe unit. In this regard, the '633 teaches nothing about the characteristics of a diffuser, nor does the '633 provide any meaningful guidance to enable one of ordinary skill in the art to build a diffuser to achieve a particular half angle. In my opinion, this lack of teaching is an important omission, because in my opinion one of ordinary skill in the art would not know how build a diffuser with a particular half angle, nor would they know how to deal with less than perfect diffusers, nor the implications of using such imperfect diffusers.

12. At page 43, the '633 asserts that designs taught by the '633 comply with ANSI standard Z136.1 [sic: should be 21 CFR 1040.10] as follows:

"Staring at the exit of a diffusing unit according to the present invention is equivalent to staring at a reflecting extended diffuser with 100% reflectivity. The AEL for visible and near infrared radiation exiting a diffusing unit for which protective eyeglasses are unnecessary based on an extended diffuser source [sic: extended diffuse source] is defined by ANSI Z 136. 1, as $10 \cdot k_1 \cdot k_2 \cdot (t^{**1/3}) \text{ J/cm}^2/\text{sr}$, where t is in seconds and $k_1=k_2=1$ for a wavelength of 400-700 nm, $k_1=1.25$ and $k_2=1$ at 750 nm, $k_1=1.6$ and $k_2=1$ at 810 nm, $k_1=3$ and $k_2=1$ at 940 nm and $k_1=5$ and $k_2=1$ at a wavelength of 1060 to 1400 nm. The safety limit

set by ISO 15004: 1997 E for pulsed radiation is 14 J/cm²/sr." [Emphasis added.]

In fact, the deficiencies pointed out above can be summarized by saying that none of the designs of types 1, 2 and 4 provide an extended diffuse source as required under ANSI standard 136.1 and IEC 60825-1.

13. As noted above, there is no disclosure in the '633 of a single thin diffusely transmitting element, or type 3, above, which yields an eye safe unit. This can be seen by working through the various examples disclosed in the '633 which are described as "eye safe". Some of these can be found in the table set forth at page 45 of the '633. In particular, the '633 teaches that the examples of Table 1 are 'eye safe' if the number in the bottom row exceeds 1. Thus, the examples titled "Non coherent Diode based", and both "Non coherent Nd:YAG based" examples are described as eye safe. However, the table provides values for only an ideal diffuser; see page 44, where it states:

Table I below presents a comparison in terms of eye safety between the exit beam of monochromatic light after being scattered by a diffusing unit into a solid angle of 3.14 sr, which is equivalent to that attained by an ideal transmitting diffuser, according to the present invention. The presentation of numbers for an ideal case is not helpful unless there is also disclosure of how to build an ideal diffuser. Nowhere in the '633 is there any disclosure of how to build an ideal diffuser. In fact, it is well known in the field that commercially available diffusers are typically much less than the ideal. This is true, as well, for the diffusers that the '633 actually discloses; none of the disclosed diffusers is perfect or even close to perfect, and the half angles of the diffusers disclosed by the '633 range between 10 degrees and 40 degrees.

14. Thus, to determine whether the examples given in Table 1 are eye safe, the calculations must be redone for the diffusers that are actually disclosed by the '633. The tables of calculations attached hereto as Attachments I and II show that, for every diffuser actually disclosed by the '633, the resulting device is far from eye safe. In both attachments, the rightmost column shows the ratio of the acceptable emissions limit divided by the calculated emissions limit for the examples in the '633. Thus, for any ratio less than 1, the device is not eye safe. As shown in the tables, none of the examples in the '633 yields a ratio greater than 1. Therefore, none of these examples is eye safe.

15. Because the diffusers in the '633 are imperfect, it is necessary to model their behavior in order to calculate whether the examples given in the '633 will yield an eye safe device. There are two equally valid techniques for modeling the behavior of an imperfect diffuser in combination with a laser source. Thus, for the calculations in Attachment I, the diffuser and light source combination has been modeled as an "Equivalent Extended Source", while in Attachment II the diffuser and light source combination is modeled using a "Subaperture"

technique. While the values in the rightmost column do not agree exactly, the correlation is well within acceptable limits, and both approaches confirm that none of the examples taught in the '633 are eye safe.

16. One of ordinary skill in this art would not typically be able to distinguish the optically correct portions of the '633 teachings from the optically incorrect portions. Instead, one of ordinary skill would likely accept on faith even the incorrect teachings of the '633 application. In my opinion, one of ordinary skill in this art would not know to reject the teachings of the '633 directed to the use of a poor diffuser plus a lens, nor would they understand that the lenslet array yields a dangerous, unsafe device. Further, they would not know to adjust the fluence of the laser source, which is another required modification to the teachings of the '633 in order to yield a device which is eye safe and effective to remove hair. Still further, nothing in the '633 teaches the benefits associated with substantially uniformly illuminating the diffuser, and, again, one of ordinary skill in the art would not know to consider this issue in attempting to build a device which is both eye safe and effective for hair removal. It is not inherent in a light guide that the output has a uniform distribution, and nothing the in '633 teaches how to design such a light guide.

17. As a result, in my opinion the teachings of the '633 are inadequate to teach one of ordinary skill in the art how to build an eye safe device which is effective to remove hair. Stated differently, the teachings of the '633 do not make it obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art how to construct an apparatus that uses a light source to effect hair removal on a human and has an optical diffuser for diffusing the light so that the light emitted from the apparatus is eye safe.

18. I have also reviewed Yaroslavsky Patent Publication 2004-0225339, and particularly Figure 7 and the associated text. The structure shown there does not lead to substantially uniform light distribution, and instead in more used for collimation, similar to the parabolic reflectors used on simple flashlights.

19. I declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful, false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under §1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful, false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing therefrom.

Gary C. Bjorklund

Date:

Gary C. Bjorklund 15 May 07

GARY C. BJORKLUND

BIOGRAPHY

Gary C. Bjorklund was born in Passaic, New Jersey in 1946. From 1964-1968, he attended M.I.T., where he majored in Physics, receiving the S.B. degree in June 1968. He started graduate work at Stanford University in the Department of Applied Physics in September 1968 and received the M.S. degree in June 1969. His graduate studies were interrupted by service in the U.S. Army between July 1969 and June 1971. Upon returning to Stanford in June 1971, he joined the research group of Professor S. E. Harris, where he performed thesis research on gas-phase nonlinear optics and vacuum ultraviolet holography, receiving the Ph.D. degree in September 1974.

From October 1974-January 1979, Bjorklund was a member of the Technical Staff at Bell Labs, Holmdel, where he performed research in quantum electronics and nonlinear optics.

In January 1979, he joined the IBM San Jose Research Laboratory (now the IBM Almaden Research Center) as a Research Staff Member and in April 1980 assumed the first of a series of research management positions. During the period from 1980 to 1989, he managed technology development efforts in laser disk optical storage, holographic optical storage, frequency domain optical storage, and materials development efforts in organic materials for laser second harmonic generation. From August 1989 until leaving IBM in July 1994, he was Manager of Organic Optoelectronic Materials and supervised groups pursuing research on polymeric nonlinear optical materials, organic photorefractive materials, and polymeric waveguide electro-optic devices for integrated optics. His personal research interests while at IBM were in applications of photonics for holography, sensitive detection, optical information storage, and optical data communications. As a manager and as an individual contributor, he made major contributions to the development of key intellectual property in the area of applications of photonics for information technology.

In July 1994 he joined the staff of Optivision/Optical Networks in Palo Alto, CA, where he served as Director of Advanced Development, with responsibility for maintaining and establishing new relationships with major government funding agencies in the photonics area; for intellectual property development; and for management oversight of ongoing research in optical switching and networking, guided wave photonics, and photonic interconnects and processors.

In June 1998, he left Optical Networks to found Bjorklund Consulting, Inc. As a consultant, Dr. Bjorklund successfully completed assignments for photonics industry clients such as Anvik, Enablence, SDL, Continuum, Charter Growth Capital, Nanovation Technologies, Norwest Equity Partners, Optical Networks, Gartner Consulting, Focus Ventures, Optikos, Quantum Technology Partners, Symmorphix, Cambridge Research & Instrumentation, Rochester Photonics, and Miramar Venture Partners.

In March 1999, he was elected to the Board of Directors of Nanovation Technologies, Inc., a developer of photonic integrated circuits for telecommunications applications, and appointed Chair of Nanovation's Scientific Advisory Board. From February 2000 to November 2001, he served as Nanovation's Chief Technology Officer, with responsibility for overall technology strategy, for technical outreach, for intellectual property development, and for identifying and evaluating technology partnering opportunities.

Since November 2001, when Nanovation ceased business operations, he has returned to consulting work and is currently engaged with a number of industrial and venture capital clients.

In addition to Nanovation, he has served on the Technical Advisory Boards of several high technology companies: Opthos, Inc., a developer of metro-scale optical networking equipment; Anvik Corp., a manufacturer of large area excimer-laser-based lithography systems; Symmorphix Inc., a developer of amplifying planar waveguide components for optical networks; and Enablence, Inc., a developer of planar waveguide components for fiber to the home/premise applications.

In March 2006, he began on a long term consulting assignment with Stanford University to serve as Consulting Director for the Stanford Photonics Research Center (SPRC). SPRC acts as an interface between the Stanford photonics research community and companies interested in photonics applications. As Consulting Director, he is primarily concerned with SPRC activities in the application areas of solar cells, telecommunications, and information technology.

Dr. Bjorklund is a Fellow of the Optical Society of America, of the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, and of the American Physical Society. He has authored over 100 technical publications and is an inventor on over 30 patents. In 1998, he was President of the Optical Society of America. He has also served as 1986 President of the IEEE Lasers and Electro-Optics Society, Program Co-Chair of CLEO 1984, General Co-Chair of CLEO 1986, Chair of the 1995 OSA Annual Meeting, and as a member of the OFC Steering Committee. He has been a member of several important advisory committees, including the recent National Research Council Committee on Optical Science and Engineering. He is currently serving as Chair of the OSA Foundation.

Equivalent Extended Source Model

[illegible]

FDA CFR Title 21
Analysis of Slatkine
Examples & Table
(Gary C. Bjorklund 20 April 2007)
Subaperture Model

		Calculation of Accrueable Extension Limit										Calculation of Actual Exposure																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																					
Example	Off-axis unit (in parallel to x-axis)	Assumption for GCE for FSA Based on Classification	Calculation of Accrueable Extension Limit										Calculation of Actual Exposure																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																				
			Δ (deg)	Δ (deg)	R ₁ half power angle of off-axis ray (degree)	R ₂ half power angle of off-axis ray (degree)	Δ (deg)	R ₃	R ₄	ARL ₁ (LS)	ARL ₂ (LS)	ARL ₃ (LS)	ARL ₄ (LS)	ARL ₅ (LS)	ARL ₆ (LS)	ARL ₇ (LS)	ARL ₈ (LS)	ARL ₉ (LS)	ARL ₁₀ (LS)	ARL ₁₁ (LS)	ARL ₁₂ (LS)	ARL ₁₃ (LS)	ARL ₁₄ (LS)	ARL ₁₅ (LS)	ARL ₁₆ (LS)	ARL ₁₇ (LS)	ARL ₁₈ (LS)	ARL ₁₉ (LS)	ARL ₂₀ (LS)	ARL ₂₁ (LS)	ARL ₂₂ (LS)	ARL ₂₃ (LS)	ARL ₂₄ (LS)	ARL ₂₅ (LS)	ARL ₂₆ (LS)	ARL ₂₇ (LS)	ARL ₂₈ (LS)	ARL ₂₉ (LS)	ARL ₃₀ (LS)	ARL ₃₁ (LS)	ARL ₃₂ (LS)	ARL ₃₃ (LS)	ARL ₃₄ (LS)	ARL ₃₅ (LS)	ARL ₃₆ (LS)	ARL ₃₇ (LS)	ARL ₃₈ (LS)	ARL ₃₉ (LS)	ARL ₄₀ (LS)	ARL ₄₁ (LS)	ARL ₄₂ (LS)	ARL ₄₃ (LS)	ARL ₄₄ (LS)	ARL ₄₅ (LS)	ARL ₄₆ (LS)	ARL ₄₇ (LS)	ARL ₄₈ (LS)	ARL ₄₉ (LS)	ARL ₅₀ (LS)	ARL ₅₁ (LS)	ARL ₅₂ (LS)	ARL ₅₃ (LS)	ARL ₅₄ (LS)	ARL ₅₅ (LS)	ARL ₅₆ (LS)	ARL ₅₇ (LS)	ARL ₅₈ (LS)	ARL ₅₉ (LS)	ARL ₆₀ (LS)	ARL ₆₁ (LS)	ARL ₆₂ (LS)	ARL ₆₃ (LS)	ARL ₆₄ (LS)	ARL ₆₅ (LS)	ARL ₆₆ (LS)	ARL ₆₇ (LS)	ARL ₆₈ (LS)	ARL ₆₉ (LS)	ARL ₇₀ (LS)	ARL ₇₁ (LS)	ARL ₇₂ (LS)	ARL ₇₃ (LS)	ARL ₇₄ (LS)	ARL ₇₅ (LS)	ARL ₇₆ (LS)	ARL ₇₇ (LS)	ARL ₇₈ (LS)	ARL ₇₉ (LS)	ARL ₈₀ (LS)	ARL ₈₁ (LS)	ARL ₈₂ (LS)	ARL ₈₃ (LS)	ARL ₈₄ (LS)	ARL ₈₅ (LS)	ARL ₈₆ (LS)	ARL ₈₇ (LS)	ARL ₈₈ (LS)	ARL ₈₉ (LS)	ARL ₉₀ (LS)	ARL ₉₁ (LS)	ARL ₉₂ (LS)	ARL ₉₃ (LS)	ARL ₉₄ (LS)	ARL ₉₅ (LS)	ARL ₉₆ (LS)	ARL ₉₇ (LS)	ARL ₉₈ (LS)	ARL ₉₉ (LS)	ARL ₁₀₀ (LS)	ARL ₁₀₁ (LS)	ARL ₁₀₂ (LS)	ARL ₁₀₃ (LS)	ARL ₁₀₄ (LS)	ARL ₁₀₅ (LS)	ARL ₁₀₆ (LS)	ARL ₁₀₇ (LS)	ARL ₁₀₈ (LS)	ARL ₁₀₉ (LS)	ARL ₁₁₀ (LS)	ARL ₁₁₁ (LS)	ARL ₁₁₂ (LS)	ARL ₁₁₃ (LS)	ARL ₁₁₄ (LS)	ARL ₁₁₅ (LS)	ARL ₁₁₆ (LS)	ARL ₁₁₇ (LS)	ARL ₁₁₈ (LS)	ARL ₁₁₉ (LS)	ARL ₁₂₀ (LS)	ARL ₁₂₁ (LS)	ARL ₁₂₂ (LS)	ARL ₁₂₃ (LS)	ARL ₁₂₄ (LS)	ARL ₁₂₅ (LS)	ARL ₁₂₆ (LS)	ARL ₁₂₇ (LS)	ARL ₁₂₈ (LS)	ARL ₁₂₉ (LS)	ARL ₁₃₀ (LS)	ARL ₁₃₁ (LS)	ARL ₁₃₂ (LS)	ARL ₁₃₃ (LS)	ARL ₁₃₄ (LS)	ARL ₁₃₅ (LS)	ARL ₁₃₆ (LS)	ARL ₁₃₇ (LS)	ARL ₁₃₈ (LS)	ARL ₁₃₉ (LS)	ARL ₁₄₀ (LS)	ARL ₁₄₁ (LS)	ARL ₁₄₂ (LS)	ARL ₁₄₃ (LS)	ARL ₁₄₄ (LS)	ARL ₁₄₅ (LS)	ARL ₁₄₆ (LS)	ARL ₁₄₇ (LS)	ARL ₁₄₈ (LS)	ARL ₁₄₉ (LS)	ARL ₁₅₀ (LS)	ARL ₁₅₁ (LS)	ARL ₁₅₂ (LS)	ARL ₁₅₃ (LS)	ARL ₁₅₄ (LS)	ARL ₁₅₅ (LS)	ARL ₁₅₆ (LS)	ARL ₁₅₇ (LS)	ARL ₁₅₈ (LS)	ARL ₁₅₉ (LS)	ARL ₁₆₀ (LS)	ARL ₁₆₁ (LS)	ARL ₁₆₂ (LS)	ARL ₁₆₃ (LS)	ARL ₁₆₄ (LS)	ARL ₁₆₅ (LS)	ARL ₁₆₆ (LS)	ARL ₁₆₇ (LS)	ARL ₁₆₈ (LS)	ARL ₁₆₉ (LS)	ARL ₁₇₀ (LS)	ARL ₁₇₁ (LS)	ARL ₁₇₂ (LS)	ARL ₁₇₃ (LS)	ARL ₁₇₄ (LS)	ARL ₁₇₅ (LS)	ARL ₁₇₆ (LS)	ARL ₁₇₇ (LS)	ARL ₁₇₈ (LS)	ARL ₁₇₉ (LS)	ARL ₁₈₀ (LS)	ARL ₁₈₁ (LS)	ARL ₁₈₂ (LS)	ARL ₁₈₃ (LS)	ARL ₁₈₄ (LS)	ARL ₁₈₅ (LS)	ARL ₁₈₆ (LS)	ARL ₁₈₇ (LS)	ARL ₁₈₈ (LS)	ARL ₁₈₉ (LS)	ARL ₁₉₀ (LS)	ARL ₁₉₁ (LS)	ARL ₁₉₂ (LS)	ARL ₁₉₃ (LS)	ARL ₁₉₄ (LS)	ARL ₁₉₅ (LS)	ARL ₁₉₆ (LS)	ARL ₁₉₇ (LS)	ARL ₁₉₈ (LS)	ARL ₁₉₉ (LS)	ARL ₂₀₀ (LS)	ARL ₂₀₁ (LS)	ARL ₂₀₂ (LS)	ARL ₂₀₃ (LS)	ARL ₂₀₄ (LS)	ARL ₂₀₅ (LS)	ARL ₂₀₆ (LS)	ARL ₂₀₇ (LS)	ARL ₂₀₈ (LS)	ARL ₂₀₉ (LS)	ARL ₂₁₀ (LS)	ARL ₂₁₁ (LS)	ARL ₂₁₂ (LS)	ARL ₂₁₃ (LS)	ARL ₂₁₄ (LS)	ARL ₂₁₅ (LS)	ARL ₂₁₆ (LS)	ARL ₂₁₇ (LS)	ARL ₂₁₈ (LS)	ARL ₂₁₉ (LS)	ARL ₂₂₀ (LS)	ARL ₂₂₁ (LS)	ARL ₂₂₂ (LS)	ARL ₂₂₃ (LS)	ARL ₂₂₄ (LS)	ARL ₂₂₅ (LS)	ARL ₂₂₆ (LS)	ARL ₂₂₇ (LS)	ARL ₂₂₈ (LS)	ARL ₂₂₉ (LS)	ARL ₂₃₀ (LS)	ARL ₂₃₁ (LS)	ARL ₂₃₂ (LS)	ARL ₂₃₃ (LS)	ARL ₂₃₄ (LS)	ARL ₂₃₅ (LS)	ARL ₂₃₆ (LS)	ARL ₂₃₇ (LS)	ARL ₂₃₈ (LS)	ARL ₂₃₉ (LS)	ARL ₂₄₀ (LS)	ARL ₂₄₁ (LS)	ARL ₂₄₂ (LS)	ARL ₂₄₃ (LS)	ARL ₂₄₄ (LS)	ARL ₂₄₅ (LS)	ARL ₂₄₆ (LS)	ARL ₂₄₇ (LS)	ARL ₂₄₈ (LS)	ARL ₂₄₉ (LS)	ARL ₂₅₀ (LS)	ARL ₂₅₁ (LS)	ARL ₂₅₂ (LS)	ARL ₂₅₃ (LS)	ARL ₂₅₄ (LS)	ARL ₂₅₅ (LS)	ARL ₂₅₆ (LS)	ARL ₂₅₇ (LS)	ARL ₂₅₈ (LS)	ARL ₂₅₉ (LS)	ARL ₂₆₀ (LS)	ARL ₂₆₁ (LS)	ARL ₂₆₂ (LS)	ARL ₂₆₃ (LS)	ARL ₂₆₄ (LS)	ARL ₂₆₅ (LS)	ARL ₂₆₆ (LS)	ARL ₂₆₇ (LS)	ARL ₂₆₈ (LS)	ARL ₂₆₉ (LS)	ARL ₂₇₀ (LS)	ARL ₂₇₁ (LS)	ARL ₂₇₂ (LS)	ARL ₂₇₃ (LS)	ARL ₂₇₄ (LS)	ARL ₂₇₅ (LS)	ARL ₂₇₆ (LS)	ARL ₂₇₇ (LS)	ARL ₂₇₈ (LS)	ARL ₂₇₉ (LS)	ARL ₂₈₀ (LS)	ARL ₂₈₁ (LS)	ARL ₂₈₂ (LS)	ARL ₂₈₃ (LS)	ARL ₂₈₄ (LS)	ARL ₂₈₅ (LS)	ARL ₂₈₆ (LS)	ARL ₂₈₇ (LS)	ARL ₂₈₈ (LS)	ARL ₂₈₉ (LS)	ARL ₂₉₀ (LS)	ARL ₂₉₁ (LS)	ARL ₂₉₂ (LS)	ARL ₂₉₃ (LS)	ARL ₂₉₄ (LS)	ARL ₂₉₅ (LS)	ARL ₂₉₆ (LS)	ARL ₂₉₇ (LS)	ARL ₂₉₈ (LS)	ARL ₂₉₉ (LS)	ARL ₃₀₀ (LS)	ARL ₃₀₁ (LS)	ARL ₃₀₂ (LS)	ARL ₃₀₃ (LS)	ARL ₃₀₄ (LS)	ARL ₃₀₅ (LS)	ARL ₃₀₆ (LS)	ARL ₃₀₇ (LS)	ARL ₃₀₈ (LS)	ARL ₃₀₉ (LS)	ARL ₃₁₀ (LS)	ARL ₃₁₁ (LS)	ARL ₃₁₂ (LS)	ARL ₃₁₃ (LS)	ARL ₃₁₄ (LS)	ARL ₃₁₅ (LS)	ARL ₃₁₆ (LS)	ARL ₃₁₇ (LS)	ARL ₃₁₈ (LS)	ARL ₃₁₉ (LS)	ARL ₃₂₀ (LS)	ARL ₃₂₁ (LS)	ARL ₃₂₂ (LS)	ARL ₃₂₃ (LS)	ARL ₃₂₄ (LS)	ARL ₃₂₅ (LS)	ARL ₃₂₆ (LS)	ARL ₃₂₇ (LS)	ARL ₃₂₈ (LS)	ARL ₃₂₉ (LS)	ARL ₃₃₀ (LS)	ARL ₃₃₁ (LS)	ARL ₃₃₂ (LS)	ARL ₃₃₃ (LS)	ARL ₃₃₄ (LS)	ARL ₃₃₅ (LS)	ARL ₃₃₆ (LS)	ARL ₃₃₇ (LS)	ARL ₃₃₈ (LS)	ARL ₃₃₉ (LS)	ARL ₃₄₀ (LS)	ARL ₃₄₁ (LS)	ARL ₃₄₂ (LS)	ARL ₃₄₃ (LS)	ARL ₃₄₄ (LS)	ARL ₃₄₅ (LS)	ARL ₃₄₆ (LS)	ARL ₃₄₇ (LS)	ARL ₃₄₈ (LS)	ARL ₃₄₉ (LS)	ARL ₃₅₀ (LS)	ARL ₃₅₁ (LS)	ARL ₃₅₂ (LS)	ARL ₃₅₃ (LS)	ARL ₃₅₄ (LS)	ARL ₃₅₅ (LS)	ARL ₃₅₆ (LS)	ARL ₃₅₇ (LS)	ARL ₃₅₈ (LS)	ARL ₃₅₉ (LS)	ARL ₃₆₀ (LS)	ARL ₃₆₁ (LS)	ARL ₃₆₂ (LS)	ARL ₃₆₃ (LS)	ARL ₃₆₄ (LS)	ARL ₃₆₅ (LS)	ARL ₃₆₆ (LS)	ARL ₃₆₇ (LS)	ARL ₃₆₈ (LS)	ARL ₃₆₉ (LS)	ARL ₃₇₀ (LS)	ARL ₃₇₁ (LS)	ARL ₃₇₂ (LS)	ARL ₃₇₃ (LS)	ARL ₃₇₄ (LS)	ARL ₃₇₅ (LS)	ARL ₃₇₆ (LS)	ARL ₃₇₇ (LS)	ARL ₃₇₈ (LS)	ARL ₃₇₉ (LS)	ARL ₃₈₀ (LS)	ARL ₃₈₁ (LS)	ARL ₃₈₂ (LS)	ARL ₃₈₃ (LS)	ARL ₃₈₄ (LS)	ARL ₃₈₅ (LS)	ARL ₃₈₆ (LS)	ARL ₃₈₇ (LS)	ARL ₃₈₈ (LS)	ARL ₃₈₉ (LS)	ARL ₃₉₀ (LS)	ARL ₃₉₁ (LS)	ARL ₃₉₂ (LS)	ARL ₃₉₃ (LS)	ARL ₃₉₄ (LS)	ARL ₃₉₅ (LS)	ARL ₃₉₆ (LS)	ARL ₃₉₇ (LS)	ARL ₃₉₈ (LS)	ARL ₃₉₉ (LS)	ARL ₄₀₀ (LS)	ARL ₄₀₁ (LS)	ARL ₄₀₂ (LS)	ARL ₄₀₃ (LS)	ARL ₄₀₄ (LS)	ARL ₄₀₅ (LS)	ARL ₄₀₆ (LS)	ARL ₄₀₇ (LS)	ARL ₄₀₈ (LS)	ARL ₄₀₉ (LS)	ARL ₄₁₀ (LS)	ARL ₄₁₁ (LS)	ARL ₄₁₂ (LS)	ARL ₄₁₃ (LS)	ARL ₄₁₄ (LS)	ARL ₄₁₅ (LS)	ARL ₄₁₆ (LS)	ARL ₄₁₇ (LS)	ARL ₄₁₈ (LS)	ARL ₄₁₉ (LS)	ARL ₄₂₀ (LS)	ARL ₄₂₁ (LS)	ARL ₄₂₂ (LS)	ARL ₄₂₃ (LS)	ARL ₄₂₄ (LS)	ARL ₄₂₅ (LS)	ARL ₄₂₆ (LS)	ARL ₄₂₇ (LS)	ARL ₄₂₈ (LS)	ARL ₄₂₉ (LS)	ARL ₄₃₀ (LS)	ARL ₄₃₁ (LS)	ARL ₄₃₂ (LS)	ARL ₄₃₃ (LS)	ARL ₄₃₄ (LS)	ARL ₄₃₅ (LS)	ARL ₄₃₆ (LS)	ARL ₄₃₇ (LS)	ARL ₄₃₈ (LS)	ARL ₄₃₉ (LS)	ARL ₄₄₀ (LS)	ARL ₄₄₁ (LS)	ARL ₄₄₂ (LS)	ARL ₄₄₃ (LS)	ARL ₄₄₄ (LS)	ARL ₄₄₅ (LS)	ARL ₄₄₆ (LS)	ARL ₄₄₇ (LS)	ARL ₄₄₈ (LS)	ARL ₄₄₉ (LS)	ARL ₄₅₀ (LS)	ARL ₄₅₁ (LS)	ARL ₄₅₂ (LS)	ARL ₄₅₃ (LS)	ARL ₄₅₄ (LS)	ARL ₄₅₅ (LS)	ARL ₄₅₆ (LS)	ARL ₄₅₇ (LS)	ARL ₄₅₈ (LS)	ARL ₄₅₉ (LS)	ARL ₄₆₀ (LS)	ARL ₄₆₁ (LS)	ARL ₄₆₂ (LS)	ARL ₄₆₃ (LS)	ARL ₄₆₄ (LS)	ARL ₄₆₅ (LS)	ARL ₄₆₆ (LS)	ARL ₄₆₇ (LS)	ARL ₄₆₈ (LS)	ARL ₄₆₉ (LS)	ARL ₄₇₀ (LS)	ARL ₄₇₁ (LS)	ARL ₄₇₂ (LS)	ARL ₄₇₃ (LS)	ARL ₄₇₄ (LS)	ARL ₄₇₅ (LS)	ARL ₄₇₆ (LS)	ARL ₄₇₇ (LS)	ARL ₄₇₈ (LS)	ARL ₄₇₉ (LS)	ARL ₄₈₀ (LS)	ARL ₄₈₁ (LS)	ARL ₄₈₂ (LS)	ARL ₄₈₃ (LS)	ARL ₄₈₄ (LS)	ARL ₄₈₅ (LS)	ARL ₄₈₆ (LS)	ARL ₄₈₇ (LS)	ARL ₄₈₈ (LS)	ARL ₄₈₉ (LS)	ARL ₄₉₀ (LS)	ARL ₄₉₁ (LS)	ARL ₄₉₂ (LS)	ARL ₄₉₃ (LS)	ARL ₄₉₄ (LS)	ARL ₄₉₅ (LS)	ARL ₄₉₆ (LS)	ARL ₄₉₇ (LS)	ARL ₄₉₈ (LS)	ARL ₄₉₉ (LS)	ARL ₅₀₀ (LS)	ARL ₅₀₁ (LS)	ARL ₅₀₂ (LS)	ARL ₅₀₃ (LS)	ARL ₅₀₄ (LS)	ARL ₅₀₅ (LS)	ARL ₅₀₆ (LS)	ARL ₅₀₇ (LS)	ARL ₅₀₈ (LS)	ARL ₅₀₉ (LS)	ARL ₅₁₀ (LS)	ARL ₅₁₁ (LS)	ARL ₅₁₂ (LS)	ARL ₅₁₃ (LS)	ARL ₅₁₄ (LS)	ARL ₅₁₅ (LS)	ARL ₅₁₆ (LS)	ARL ₅₁₇ (LS)	ARL ₅₁₈ (LS)	ARL ₅₁₉ (LS)	ARL ₅₂₀ (LS)	ARL ₅₂₁ (LS)	ARL ₅₂₂ (LS)	ARL ₅₂₃ (LS)	ARL ₅₂₄ (LS)	ARL ₅₂₅ (LS)	ARL ₅₂₆ (LS)	ARL ₅₂₇ (LS)	ARL ₅₂₈ (LS)	ARL ₅₂₉ (LS)	ARL ₅₃₀ (LS)	ARL ₅₃₁ (LS)	ARL ₅₃₂ (LS)	ARL ₅₃₃ (LS)	ARL ₅₃₄ (LS)	ARL ₅₃₅ (LS)	ARL ₅₃₆ (LS)	ARL ₅₃₇ (LS)	ARL ₅₃₈ (LS)	ARL ₅₃₉ (LS)	ARL ₅₄₀ (LS)	ARL ₅₄₁ (LS)	ARL ₅₄₂ (LS)	ARL ₅₄₃ (LS)	ARL ₅₄₄ (LS)	ARL ₅₄₅ (LS)	ARL ₅₄₆ (LS)	ARL ₅₄₇ (LS)	ARL ₅₄₈ (LS)	ARL ₅₄₉ (LS)	ARL ₅₅₀ (LS)	ARL ₅₅₁ (LS)	ARL ₅₅₂ (LS)	ARL ₅₅₃ (LS)	ARL ₅₅₄ (LS)	ARL ₅₅₅ (LS)	ARL ₅₅₆ (LS)	ARL ₅₅₇ (LS)	ARL ₅₅₈ (LS)	ARL ₅₅₉ (LS)	ARL ₅₆₀ (LS)	ARL ₅₆₁ (LS)	ARL ₅₆₂ (LS)	ARL ₅₆₃ (LS)	ARL ₅₆₄ (LS)	ARL ₅₆₅ (LS)	ARL ₅₆₆ (LS)	ARL ₅₆₇ (LS)	ARL ₅₆₈ (LS)	ARL ₅₆₉ (LS)	ARL ₅₇₀ (LS)	ARL ₅₇₁ (LS)	ARL ₅₇₂ (LS)	ARL ₅₇₃ (LS)	ARL ₅₇₄ (LS)	ARL ₅₇₅ (LS)	ARL ₅₇₆ (LS)	ARL ₅₇₇ (LS)	ARL ₅₇₈ (LS)	ARL ₅₇₉ (LS)	ARL ₅₈₀ (LS)	ARL ₅₈₁ (LS)	ARL ₅₈₂ (LS)	ARL ₅₈₃ (LS)	ARL ₅₈₄ (LS)	ARL ₅₈₅ (LS)	ARL ₅₈₆ (LS)	ARL ₅₈₇ (LS)	ARL ₅₈₈ (LS)	ARL ₅₈₉ (LS)	ARL ₅₉₀ (LS)	ARL ₅₉₁ (LS)	ARL ₅₉₂ (LS)	ARL ₅₉₃ (LS)	ARL ₅₉₄ (LS)	ARL ₅₉₅ (LS)	ARL ₅₉₆ (LS)	ARL ₅₉₇ (LS)	ARL ₅₉₈ (LS)	ARL ₅₉₉ (LS)	ARL ₆₀₀ (LS)	ARL ₆₀₁ (LS)	ARL ₆₀₂ (LS)	ARL ₆₀₃ (LS)	ARL ₆₀₄ (LS)	ARL ₆₀₅ (LS)	ARL ₆₀₆ (LS)	ARL ₆₀₇ (LS)	ARL ₆₀₈ (LS)	ARL ₆₀₉ (LS)	ARL ₆₁₀ (LS)	ARL ₆₁₁ (LS)	ARL ₆₁₂ (LS)	ARL ₆₁₃ (LS)	ARL ₆₁₄ (LS)	ARL ₆₁₅ (LS)	ARL ₆₁₆ (LS)	ARL ₆₁₇ (LS)	ARL ₆₁₈ (LS)	ARL ₆₁₉ (LS)	ARL ₆₂₀ (LS)	ARL ₆₂₁ (LS)	ARL ₆₂₂ (LS)	ARL ₆₂₃ (LS)	ARL ₆₂₄ (LS)	ARL ₆₂₅ (LS)	ARL ₆₂₆ (LS)	ARL ₆₂₇ (LS)	ARL ₆₂₈ (LS)	ARL ₆₂₉ (LS)	ARL ₆₃₀ (LS)	ARL ₆₃₁ (LS)	ARL ₆₃₂ (LS)	ARL ₆₃₃ (LS)	ARL ₆₃₄ (LS)	ARL ₆₃₅ (LS)	ARL ₆₃₆ (LS)	ARL ₆₃₇ (LS)	ARL ₆₃₈ (LS)	ARL ₆₃₉ (LS)	ARL ₆₄₀ (LS)	ARL ₆₄₁ (LS)	ARL ₆₄₂ (LS)	ARL ₆₄₃ (LS)	ARL ₆₄₄ (LS)	ARL ₆₄₅ (LS)	ARL ₆₄₆ (LS)	ARL ₆₄₇ (LS)	ARL ₆₄₈ (LS)	ARL ₆₄₉ (LS)	ARL ₆₅₀ (LS)	ARL ₆₅₁ (LS)	ARL ₆₅₂ (LS)	ARL ₆₅₃ (LS)	ARL ₆₅₄ (LS)	ARL ₆₅₅ (LS)	ARL ₆₅₆ (LS)	ARL ₆₅₇ (LS)	ARL ₆₅₈ (LS)	ARL ₆₅₉ (LS)	ARL ₆₆₀ (LS)	ARL ₆₆₁ (LS)	ARL ₆₆₂ (LS)	ARL ₆₆₃ (LS)	ARL ₆₆₄ (LS)	ARL ₆₆₅ (LS)	ARL ₆₆₆ (LS)	ARL ₆₆₇ (LS)	ARL ₆₆₈ (LS)	ARL ₆₆₉ (LS)	ARL ₆₇₀ (LS)	ARL ₆₇₁ (LS)	ARL ₆₇₂ (LS)	ARL ₆₇₃ (LS)	ARL ₆₇₄ (LS)	ARL ₆₇₅ (LS)	ARL ₆₇₆ (LS)	ARL ₆₇₇ (LS)	ARL ₆₇₈ (LS)	ARL ₆₇₉ (LS)	ARL ₆₈₀ (LS)

